CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2015 First Round June 10, 2015

Project Number CA-15-043

Project Name Harper Crossing

Site Address: 3132-3138 Martin Luther King Jr. Way; 3135-3139 Harper Street

Berkeley, CA 94703 County: Alameda

Census Tract: 4240.010

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$785,008\$0Recommended:\$785,008\$0

Applicant Information

Applicant: Satellite Affordable Housing Associates

Contact: Eve Stewart

Address: 1521 University Avenue

Berkeley, CA 94703

Phone: 510-809-2754 Fax: 510-649-0312

Email: estewart@sahahomes.org

General Partner(s) / Principal Owner(s): Satellite Affordable Housing Associates

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Parent Company(ies):

Developer:

Satellite Affordable Housing Associates

Satellite Affordable Housing Associates

Investor/Consultant:

California Housing Partnership Corp.

Management Agent(s):

Satellite Affordable Housing Associates

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1 Total # of Units: 42

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 41 100% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: HOME / CDBG / HOPWA

Affordability Breakdown by Units and % (Lowest Income Points):

30% AMI: 5 10 % 40% AMI: 9 20 % 50% AMI: 17 40 %

Information

Set-Aside: N/A Housing Type: Seniors

Geographic Area: North and East Bay Region

TCAC Project Analyst: Connie Harina

Unit Mix

41 1-Bedroom Units1 2-Bedroom Units42 Total Units

	2014 Rents Targeted % of Area	2014 Rents Actual % of Area Median	Proposed Rent (including
Unit Type & Number	Median Income	Income	utilities)
5 1 Bedroom	30%	30%	\$517
9 1 Bedroom	40%	40%	\$690
17 1 Bedroom	50%	50%	\$862
10 1 Bedroom	60%	60%	\$1,035
1 2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	Manager's Unit	\$0

Project Cost Summary at Application

\$2,149,730
\$10,625,224
\$0
\$1,078,495
\$0
\$475,011
\$570,343
\$35,000
\$178,946
\$1,150,416
\$1,200,000
\$0
\$17,463,165

Project Financing

Residential

Estimated Total Project Cost:	\$17,463,165	Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$278
Estimated Residential Project Cost:	\$17,463,165	Per Unit Cost:	\$415,790

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing

Source	Amount	Source Ai	nount
Silicon Valley Bank	\$10,043,000	Silicon Valley Bank	\$606,503
City of Berkeley HOME / CDBG	\$1,815,000	City of Berkeley HOME / CDBG	\$1,815,000
City of Berkeley Land Donation	\$1,969,500	City of Berkeley Land Donation	\$1,969,500
County of Alameda HOPWA	\$500,000	County of Alameda HOPWA	\$500,000
Affordable Housing Program	\$615,000	Affordable Housing Program	\$615,000
HCD - IIG	\$578,808	HCD - IIG	\$578,808
HUD - TOD Grant (GP Contribution	n) \$25,000	HCD - TOD	\$2,467,264
Deferred Costs	\$479,756	HUD - TOD Grant (GP Contribution)	\$25,000
Deferred Developer Fee	\$336,000	Deferred Developer Fee	\$336,000
Tax Credit Equity	\$1,101,101	Tax Credit Equity	\$8,550,090
		TOTAL	\$17,463,165

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$7,85	52,438
130% High Cost Adjustment:		Yes
Applicable Fraction:	10	0.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$10,20	08,169
Applicable Rate:		7.69%
Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$78	85,008
Approved Developer Fee (in Project	Cost & Eligible Basis): \$1,20	00,000
Investor/Consultant:	California Housing Partnership	Corp.
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$1.	08917

Per Regulation Section 10322(i)(4)(A), The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, will be used during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits.

Per Regulation Section 10327(c)(2)(C), Once established at the initial funded application, the developer fee cannot be increased, but may be decreased, in the event of a modification in basis.

Eligible Basis and Basis Limit

Requested Unadjusted Eligible Basis: \$7,852,438 Actual Eligible Basis: \$14,930,558 Unadjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$9,265,079 Total Adjusted Threshold Basis Limit \$13,192,458

Adjustments to Basis Limit:

Required to Pay Prevailing Wages
Parking Beneath Residential Units
Local Development Impact Fees
95% of Upper Floor Units are Elevator-Serviced

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Seniors
Second: 63.824%

Cost Analysis and Line Item Review

Staff analysis of project costs to determine reasonableness found all fees to be within TCAC's underwriting guidelines and TCAC limitations. Annual operating expenses exceed the minimum operating expenses established in the Regulations, and the project pro forma shows a positive cash flow from year one. Staff has calculated federal tax credits based on 7.69% of the qualified basis, or, in the case of acquisition credit combined with federal subsidies, 3.30%. Applicants are cautioned to consider the expected federal rate when negotiating with investors. TCAC's financial evaluation at project completion will determine the final allocation.

Special Issues/Other Significant Information: None.

Legal Status: Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

Local Reviewing Agency:

The Local Reviewing Agency, the City of Berkeley, Health Housing & Community Services Department, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Committee make a preliminary reservation of tax credits in the following amount(s) contingent upon standard conditions and any additional conditions imposed by the Committee:

Federal Tax Credits/Annual State Tax Credits/Total \$785,008 \$0

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of ten years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(6) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

Additional Conditions: None.

Points System	Max. Possible Points	Requested Points	Points Awarded
Cost Efficiency / Credit Reduction / Public Funds	20	20	20
Public Funds	20	20	20
Owner / Management Characteristics	9	9	9
General Partner Experience	6	6	6
Management Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	10	10
Site Amenities	15	15	15
Within ¼ mile of transit stop, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density	7	7	7
Within 1/4 mile of public park or community center open to general public	3	3	3
Within ½ mile of public library	2	2	2
Within ½ mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf	4	4	4
Senior project within ¼ mile of daily operated senior center/facility	3	3	3
Within ½ mile of a pharmacy	1	1	1
Service Amenities	10	10	10
LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES			
Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms	5	5	5
Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, min. 60 hrs/yr instruction	5	5	5
Sustainable Building Methods	10	10	10
NEW CONSTRUCTION/ADAPTIVE REUSE			
Develop project in accordance w/ requirements of: GreenPoint Rated	5	5	5
Develop project to requirements of: GreenPoint Rated Gold	5	5	5
Lowest Income	52	52	52
Basic Targeting	50	50	50
Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of units @ 30% AMI or less	2	2	2
Readiness to Proceed	20	20	20
Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies	2	2	2
State Credit Substitution	2	2	2
Total Points	148	148	148

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.